Problem 1 (Sample Midterm 2, Question 2)

It is year t = 0. Argentina thinks it can find \$150 of domestic investment projects with an MPK of 10%. Argentina invests \$84 in year t = 0 by borrowing \$84 from the rest of the world at the world interest rate $r^* = 5\%$. There is no further borrowing or investment. The project starts to pay off in year t = 1 and continues to pay off all years thereafter. Interest is paid in perpetuity, in year t = 1 and every year thereafter. In addition, assume that if the projects are not done, then GDP = Q = C = \$200 in all years.

For the following questions, use standard assumptions: initial external wealth W = 0, G = 0 always, I = 0 except in year t = 0, and NUT = KA = 0; and furthermore there is no net labor income so that NFIA = r^*W .

(a) If the investment project is not undertaken, what is the present value of output *Q*?

Solution. \$200 is earned every year, and all subsequent years must be discounted by the interest rate $r^* = 5\%$. Using the present value formula (see week 1 section problem 4d if you need a refresher), we get

$$PV(Q) = 200 + \left[\frac{200}{1.05} + \frac{200}{1.05^2} + \frac{200}{1.05^3} + \dots\right]$$
$$= 200 + \left[\frac{200}{0.05}\right]$$
$$= 4200.$$

(b) Should Argentina fund the \$84 worth of projects? Explain your answer.

Solution. A project is worth funding if the MPK exceeds the interest rate, that is, if the payoff of the investment exceeds the cost of the investment. Here we have

MPK =
$$10\% > 5\% = r^*$$
,

so yeah, it should invest.

(c) Why might Argentina be able to borrow only \$84 and not \$150?

Solution. Some countries face borrowing limits, especially those with sketchy financial situations or histories. Argentina for example is the modern poster child for economic dysfunction: it has defaulted on its debts *nine times* since independence from Spain in 1816, and is under threat of another default as I write this. Would *you* want to loan to Argentina?

(d) Going forward, assume the projects totaling \$84 are funded and completed in year t = 0. If the MPK is 10%, what is the total payoff from the projects in future years?

Solution. Output is initially at Q = 200. An MPK of 10% means that an increase in *K* of 1 unit will lead to an increase in *Q* of 0.10 units. We're told that the increase in *K* is 84, therefore the increase in output is 8.4 in each subsequent year.

(e) At year t = 0, what is the new PV(Q), PV(I), and PV(C)?

Solution. GDP will be 200 in year t = 0, before the investment project is completed. Then in all subsequent years, GDP will be 208.4. Therefore the present value calculation gives

$$PV(Q) = 200 + \left[\frac{208.4}{1.05} + \frac{208.4}{1.05^2} + \frac{208.4}{1.05^3} + \dots\right]$$
$$= 200 + \left[\frac{208.4}{0.05}\right]$$
$$= 4368.$$

In year t = 0, the investment of \$84 is undertaken. Then no more investment ever. So PV(I) = 84. Under the long-run budget constraint, the present value of consumption and investment must equal the present value of output, that is,

- $PV(C) + PV(I) = PV(Q) \implies PV(C) + 84 = 4368 \implies PV(C) = 4284.$
- (f) Suppose Argentina is consumption smoothing. What is the percent change in PV(C)? What is the new level of *C* in all years? Is Argentina better off?

Solution. We want to find some constant stream of consumption *C* that has present value PV(C) = 4284. We can write such a stream as

$$PV(C) = C + \left[\frac{C}{1.05} + \frac{C}{1.05^2} + \frac{C}{1.05^3} + \dots\right]$$
$$= C + \left[\frac{C}{0.05}\right]$$
$$= \left(\frac{1.05}{0.05}\right)C.$$

So we want to solve

$$\left(\frac{1.05}{0.05}\right)C = 4284 \implies C = 204.$$

Absent investment, it would have only C = Q = 200 in every period.

(g) In year t = 0, when the investment project is started (but not yet completed), explain Argentina's balance of payments as follows: state CA, TB, NFIA, and FA.

Solution. In year t = 0, output is Q = 200, consumption is C = 204, and investment is I = 84. Clearly C + I > Q, i.e. expenditure exceeds output by 288 versus 200, so Argentina must be borrowing FA = 88 by e.g. exporting bonds. And therefore

it must also be a current account deficit, CA = -88, because they're using more resources than they've produced.

They don't have to pay anything back until subsequent years, so NFIA = 0. This implies that TB = -88 since NUT = NFIA = 0 implies CA = TB.

(h) State the levels of CA, TB, NFIA, and FA in year t = 1 and every later year.

Solution. In subsequent years, output is Q = 208.4, consumption is 204, and no more investments are being made so I = 0. Now we have C + I < Q, i.e. expenditure falls short of output. No borrowing or lending is occurring anymore, so FA = 0. But the original loan now requires interest payments.

The loan was for 88 and the interest rate is 5%, so Argentina pays back (0.05)88 = 4.4 in interest every year, that is, NFIA = -4.4 each year. Also TB = Q - C - I = 4.4. Intuitively, Argentina is consuming less than its resources and exporting the extra to pay back the loan it took in period 0.

t	0	1,2,3,	PV
Q	200	208.4	4368
Ι	84	0	84
С	204	204	4284
ТВ	-88	4.4	0
NFIA	0	-4.4	
CA	-88	0	
FA	88	0	